UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/829,370	04/22/2004	Houman Pournasseh	5486-0210PUS1	7092	
	7590 08/01/200 ART, KOLASCH & B	EXAMINER			
PO Box 747			VO, TED T		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2191		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/01/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/829,370	POURNASSEH ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
TED T. VO	2191		

	TED T. VO	2191				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 May 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL		-				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>22 July 2008</u>. A brief in date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or ar Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismiss	al of the appeal.			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	ut prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause			
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con			04400			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	v);	,.				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reig	acted claims				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	scied ciairris.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		(
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allownon-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-12 and 14-23</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: 1.5 12 and 14 25. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a			
10.	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
	/Ted T. Vo/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 2191				

Application No. 10/829,370

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The argument filed after the mailing of the Final Office action has been considered but not persuasive. The Claims as a whole is a replacement of data from a source to a target based on a translation table. Applicants' argument is only generic allegation; it does not discuss the any patentable feature for a consideration. It should be noted that by the requirement under 1.111(b) and (c) the argument for consideration should discuss the patentability of the claims. On the other hand, such the common replacement in the claims reads on the translation that looks up source code address and translates the code based on an information table.